



16 August 2023

Request

Please can you provide me with all the emails sent either to or from the Constable of St Helier in connection with traffic or road safety issues associated with St John's Road or the scheme outlined on St John's road trial scheme (gov.je) www.gov.je/stJohnsroad in the past three years.

Response

The emails requested are attached.

FOI exemption applied: personal information redacted.

Article 25(2) – Personal information – Personal data, applicant not subject but supply contravenes data protection principles

Information is absolutely exempt information if – (a) it constitutes personal data of which the applicant is not the data subject as defined in the Data Protection (Jersey) Law 2018; and (b) its supply to a member of the public would contravene any of the data protection principles, as defined in that Law.

FOI exemption applied: formulation of policy

Article 35 - Formulation and development of policies

Information is qualified exempt information if it relates to the formulation or development of any proposed policy by a public authority.

FOI exemption applied: future publication

Article 36 - Information intended for future publication

Information is qualified exempt information if, at the time when the request for the information is made, the information is being held by a public authority with a view to its being published within 12 weeks of the date of the request.

FOI exemption applied: law enforcement

Article 42 - Law enforcement

Information is qualified exempt information if its disclosure would, or would be likely to, prejudice (a) the prevention, detection or investigation of crime, whether in Jersey or elsewhere; or (b) the apprehension or prosecution of offenders, whether in respect of offences committed in Jersey or elsewhere; or (c) the administration of justice, whether in Jersey or elsewhere.

Alison Roberts

From: Simon Crowcroft
Sent: 14 August 2023 16:57
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: Disappointing postponement

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Sent: 08 August 2023 18:58
To: Simon Crowcroft [REDACTED]
Subject: Disappointing postponement

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Oh dear, how do I start? I have been a long-time resident of St. Johns Road and I cannot express in words how strongly I am disappointed and outraged by the postponement of the road trial.

This trial was a much-needed and widely-supported initiative to improve the safety, health, and quality of life of the people who live and use this road, which is currently narrow, congested, noisy, and polluted. The trial would have created more space, security, and peace for everyone by reducing traffic, noise, and pollution levels. The decision to delay the trial based on the objections of a few people from Parade Road, which is more suitable for two-way traffic, is unfair and irresponsible. The situation on St. John's Road is urgent and requires immediate action. Please do not let this road remain a hazard and a nuisance for any longer.

Thank you for your attention to this matter. I hope that you will give serious consideration to the concerns raised by the community and proceed with the trial as soon as possible.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Alison Roberts

From: Simon Crowcroft
Sent: 14 August 2023 16:57
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: Concerns on the trial delay

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Sent: 08 August 2023 18:32

To: [REDACTED]
Simon Crowcroft [REDACTED]
Subject: Concerns on the trial delay

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Sir/Madam,

I am writing to urge you to reconsider the postponement of the trial of making St. Johns Rd a one-way traffic road. The current conditions of this narrow and congested road are unsafe, noisy, and polluted, and they pose serious risks to the safety, health, and quality of life of the residents and road users. A one-way traffic system would address these issues by creating more space, security, and peace for everyone who uses this road.

I understand that some concerns have been raised by a few people from Parade Road, but they are outweighed by the benefits of the trial for the whole community. Parade Road is much more suitable for two-way traffic than St. John's rd, and the trial is only temporary and experimental. The decision to delay the trial based on the complaints of a minority is unfair and irresponsible, as it ignores the needs and wishes of the majority of residents. The situation on St. Johns Rd is urgent and requires immediate action. Please do not let this road remain a hazard and a nuisance for any longer.

Thank you for your attention to this matter. I hope that you will give serious consideration to the concerns raised by the community and proceed with the trial as soon as possible.

[REDACTED]

Alison Roberts

From: Simon Crowcroft
Sent: 14 August 2023 16:58
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: Your decision is unbelievable

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Sent: 08 August 2023 16:35

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Subject: Your decision is unbelievable

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear ALL,

I hope this letter finds you well.

I am writing to express my deep concern regarding the recent decision to postpone the trial for implementing a one-way traffic system on St. John's Road. As a concerned resident, I believe that this delay is not in the best interest of the community and fails to address the pressing issues faced by the residents and pedestrians of St. John's Road.

I apologise for the forthcoming questions, but I'm feeling deeply upset, outraged, and on the verge of experiencing significant emotional distress:

- Is this decision some sort of intellectual gymnastic to conceal that you are planning to cancel the trial?
- What right do you have to keep exposing us and our children to these dangerous circumstances?

It is **BLATANTLY EVIDENT** to anyone familiar with the area that the current road conditions pose significant risks to both drivers and pedestrians. The narrow pavements and two-way traffic on St. John's Road create a dangerous environment characterised by constant congestion and high noise levels. The postponement of the trial means that these risks will persist, jeopardising the safety and well-being of the community.

The proposed one-way system was intended to alleviate these concerns by introducing a safer traffic flow and providing additional space for pedestrians. By diverting traffic along Westmount Road and Parade Road, the trial aimed to address the issue of narrow pavements and improve the overall traffic management in the area. It is infuriating to learn that the trial has been delayed, as it further prolongs the suffering of St. John's Road residents and undermines their right to a safe and peaceful living environment.

Furthermore, the reasons cited for the delay, including the need for "further data gathering" and monitoring of traffic flow and air quality, appear insufficient to justify postponing the trial. The concerns raised by the residents regarding the feasibility and effectiveness of the proposed scheme could have been addressed through open dialogue, consultation, and community engagement during the trial period. It is vital that the government values the input of its citizens and takes their concerns into account when making decisions that directly impact their lives.

Additionally, the article published in Jersey Evening Post highlights the concerns of a small group of individuals residing on Parade Road, who argue that the diversion of traffic to their road would lead to potential accidents. However, it is important to note that Parade Road is designed and equipped to handle two-way traffic. Therefore, their

concerns should not outweigh the urgent need to address the safety issues on St. John's Road, where the risks to the community are far more severe.

I urge you to reconsider the decision to postpone the trial and instead proceed with the implementation of the one-way traffic system on St. John's Road as planned. The well-being and safety of the residents should be the foremost priority of any responsible government. By conducting the trial as scheduled, the government can gather accurate data, evaluate the effectiveness of the proposed scheme, and take decisive action to create a safer and more livable environment for all those who reside in or frequent the area.

PLEASE DO SOMETHING!

[REDACTED]

Alison Roberts

From: Simon Crowcroft
Sent: 14 August 2023 16:58
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: Please take action!

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
Sent: 08 August 2023 15:27
[REDACTED]

Cc: Simon Crowcroft [REDACTED]
Subject: Please take action!

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear [REDACTED]

I hope this message finds you well. I am writing to express my deep preoccupation regarding the decision to further delay the one-way traffic trial on St Johns Road. This matter weighs heavily on my mind, as it poses significant risks and demands immediate attention.

In light of these pressing concerns, I implore you to recognise the gravity of the situation and take decisive action. The safety of pedestrians and the well-being of the community are at stake. Further delays and studies are simply unacceptable. It is imperative that we prioritise the implementation of the one-way trial as originally planned, setting aside the objections of a vocal minority in favor of the greater good.

Minister, I beseech you to reconsider this ill-advised postponement and demonstrate your commitment to the safety and welfare of the community. Let us not allow this issue to linger any longer, but instead, take resolute steps towards rectifying the perilous conditions on St Johns Road.

The inherent challenges posed by St Johns Road have been evident for an extensive period, and their adverse consequences are well-documented. The road's narrowness coupled with the meagre size of the pavements, creates a dangerous environment.

In addition, the recent closure of Old St. John's Road has compounded the existing predicaments, resulting in a notable surge of traffic on St. Johns Road. This surge of cars and bikes has amplified not only the risks for the pedestrians, but has also elevated pollution levels, and escalated noise pollution to a worrisome extent.

Alison Roberts

From: Simon Crowcroft
Sent: 14 August 2023 16:58
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: Disappointing

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Sent: 08 August 2023 15:05

[REDACTED]; Simon Crowcroft [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Subject: Disappointing

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Greetings,

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the decision to postpone the one-way system trial on St. John's Road. This trial was intended to improve pedestrian safety, reduce traffic congestion, and monitor air quality in the area. The concerns raised by some residents, while understandable, should not outweigh the potential benefits for the wider community. The section of St. John's Road under consideration is exceptionally narrow and poorly suited for two-way traffic. Implementing a one-way system is a reasonable solution that has worked well in many other towns. While nearby roads may see an increase in traffic, proper traffic management and safety measures can be put in place. The government has a duty to explore innovative solutions that improve conditions for all residents, not just the vocal few.

Postponing this trial based on a small number of objections sends the wrong message - that the government is unwilling to implement changes that may inconvenience a few but benefit the majority. I urge you to reconsider this decision. The one-way trial should commence as originally planned, with sufficient data gathering and monitoring built-in to address any issues that arise. Progress inevitably involves some disruption, but the long-term gains for pedestrian safety and quality of life in St. John's are worth it. I hope you will proceed with the one-way trial and make decisions based on facts and the greater good of the community. The concerns of a few should not impede a potential solution for the many. I look forward to your reconsideration of this important matter.

Sincerely,

[REDACTED]

Alison Roberts

From: Simon Crowcroft
Sent: 14 August 2023 16:58
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: St Johns Road

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
Sent: 08 August 2023 14:53

To: Simon Crowcroft [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Subject: St Johns Road

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear all,

I am writing in response to the recent announcement of the postponement of the one-way system trial on St John's Road. While I appreciate the value of data gathering and the intention to make informed decisions, I believe the delay of this trial is not in the best interest of the community.

The proposed one-way system is a solution to a long-standing issue of narrow roads and slender pavements which have put both the residents and pedestrians at risk. The current two-way traffic situation has proven to be inadequate and unsafe.

The postponement on the premise of gathering further data could potentially prolong the risks that residents and pedestrians are already facing. Surely, the purpose of the trial was to gather real-time data about the effects of the one-way system on traffic flow and air quality. By delaying the trial, we are missing an opportunity to gather this critical information.

Moreover, the concerns raised by residents, particularly those of Parade Road, could be better addressed by undertaking the trial and observing the actual effects rather than speculating based on current conditions. The trial would provide an opportunity to implement necessary changes and improvements to the scheme based on tangible evidence.

In conclusion, I strongly urge you to reconsider the decision to postpone the trial. Let us move forward with the trial and use it as an opportunity to gather the necessary data and adjust the scheme accordingly. This way, we can promptly address the concerns of the community, enhance safety, and improve the quality of life for all residents.

Regards,
[REDACTED]

Alison Roberts

From: Simon Crowcroft
Sent: 14 August 2023 16:58
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: St. Johns Road Trial

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
Sent: 08 August 2023 14:37
[REDACTED]
Cc: Simon Crowcroft [REDACTED]
Subject: St. Johns Road Trial

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear all,

I am writing to express my concern about the decision to postpone the trial of making St. John's Road a one-way traffic road. I believe that this decision is not in the best interest of the residents, pedestrians, and drivers who use this road on a daily basis.

St. John's Road is a very narrow road that has two-way traffic. The pavements of this road are also very narrow, which makes it difficult and dangerous for pedestrians to walk along the road. The road is often congested with traffic, especially during peak hours, which causes noise and air pollution. The road also poses a high risk of accidents, as vehicles have to squeeze past each other or wait for the opposite direction to clear.

The trial of making St. John's Road a one-way traffic road was supposed to address these issues and improve the safety and quality of life of the people who use this road. It was planned to start on August 15, 2023 and last for six months, during which time the traffic flow would be monitored and evaluated. The trial was supported by many local residents, businesses, and community groups, who hoped that it would reduce traffic congestion, noise, and pollution, and make the road more pedestrian-friendly.

However, I was dismayed to learn that the Government has decided to postpone the trial indefinitely, citing "further data gathering". The issues are obvious. I find this reason unacceptable and frankly preposterous.

I urge you to reconsider this decision and proceed with the trial as soon as possible. The trial is not a matter of convenience, but a matter of public health and safety. The current situation of St. John's Road is intolerable and unsustainable, and it needs to be changed for the better.

Thank you for your attention.

[REDACTED]

Alison Roberts

From: Simon Crowcroft
Sent: 14 August 2023 16:58
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: St. Johns Road Traffic Trial Dleay

[REDACTED]

-----Original Message-----

[REDACTED]

Sent: 08 August 2023 14:09
To: [REDACTED] Simon Crowcroft
[REDACTED]

Subject: St. Johns Road Traffic Trial Dleay

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Sir or Madam,

I write to express my profound concern regarding the decision to delay the traffic trials on St Johns Road. I find it perplexing that further studies are deemed necessary to address a long-standing issue that has been reported numerous times over the years, with its inherent challenges abundantly clear. The road's narrow width simply cannot accommodate two-way traffic, and the pavements, measuring less than a meter in width, exacerbate the problem. Regrettably, I have personally fallen victim to side mirror collisions on two separate occasions, and I have witnessed vehicles resorting to mounting the pavement in order to pass oncoming traffic. I implore the Government to take decisive action soon.

To compound matters, the closure of Old St. John's Road to non-residential traffic has resulted in a further influx of vehicles onto St. John's Road, intensifying the hazards and contributing to increased pollution and noise levels. Furthermore, the proliferation of motorcycles equipped with illegally modified exhaust systems adds to the cacophony, as they brazenly traverse the town without repercussions. It is disheartening to observe that little, if anything, has been done to address this pressing concern.

Kind regards,

[REDACTED]

Alison Roberts

From: Simon Crowcroft
Sent: 14 August 2023 16:59
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: St John's Road

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Sent: 08 August 2023 13:14

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED], Simon Crowcroft

[REDACTED]

Subject: St John's Road

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear All

I note that the one way trial on St. John's Road [REDACTED] been delayed for the purpose of gathering further information.

I wrote to you previously to express our very great concern gained from our experience as pedestrians [REDACTED] at the lower end of St. John's Road which is extremely hazardous.

I am increasingly concerned that a lobby has arisen to oppose this trial. From the plans presented the objections would appear to centre around the fact that motorists and those living at [REDACTED] John's Road feel they will be inconvenienced by the proposed trial. I understand this, none of us like being stuck in a traffic jam.

However, the safety of pedestrians, some of them children on their way to school, must surely take priority over inconveniencing motorists.

May I ask what information is planning to be gathered during this period of delay and when is the new proposed start time of the trial?

I wish to register with you again our very strong support for this scheme and the hope that this proposal will not be allowed to be derailed by those that are creating petitions and taking to social media / leafleting to gather support.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Good afternoon, [REDACTED]
Many thanks for these comments.
It's helpful to know that this scheme has support.
Kind regards
[REDACTED]

Sent from [Outlook for Android](#)

[REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, July 4, 2023 9:29:11 AM

To: Tom Binet [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] constable@sthelier.je <constable@sthelier.je>; [REDACTED]

Subject: [REDACTED]

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organisation. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear All

As residents of lower St. John's Road we are pleased and relieved that our safety concerns have been acknowledged and that the Government plan to trial the new one way system from August. From the presentation that we attended at the Town Hall last week we feel it will make the road [REDACTED] much safer and is to be applauded.

As mentioned to staff at the Parish Hall and our politicians previously, we have been extremely concerned with vehicles mounting the pavement as we walked along the road and wing mirrors clipping pedestrians over a considerable time. As a family [REDACTED] have found walking [REDACTED] on this part of the road quite terrifying and have no choice but to do so [REDACTED]

We are, however, concerned at the mounting opposition that has developed in the last week. We note that the person described in the IEP as an [REDACTED], who is opposing the trial and we also [REDACTED]. This seems to us to be a conflict of interest. [REDACTED] concerned that there are vulnerable pedestrians on Parade Road. Pedestrians on Parade Road are not faced with the narrowness of pavements that we have to contend with in lower St. John's Road. Further the traffic is already only one way in Parade Road. It is surely a safer way forward for both roads to be one way.

We do understand people's concerns that the trial may impact on other areas and yes, for some motorists it may inconvenience them but as mentioned our road is so unsafe for us as pedestrians that something definitely needs to be done. Hopefully by the end of the trial much of the heavy traffic that currently uses our road will divert to the ring road or Westmount.

We want to register with you our very strong support for this scheme and the hope that this proposal will not be allowed to be derailed by those that are creating petitions and taking to social media / leafleting to gather support.

Best wishes
[REDACTED]

Alison Roberts

From: Simon Crowcroft
Sent: 14 August 2023 16:59
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: St John's Road update
Attachments: 20230408 St John's Road update PN.docx

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Sent: 03 August 2023 08:40
To: Simon Crowcroft [REDACTED]
Subject: St John's Road update

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Good morning,

For awareness, we have the attached news release scheduled to go out tomorrow.

It may change slightly between now and then, but it is pretty much in its final state.

Any issues please do shout.

Thanks

[REDACTED]

Care : If you have received this email and it was not intended for you, please reply to the sender, and then delete it. Please treat our information in confidence. This communication may contain legal advice which is confidential and/or privileged. It should not be forwarded or copied to anyone else without the prior permission of the sender.

Alison Roberts

From: Simon Crowcroft
Sent: 14 August 2023 17:01
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: St John's Road and Parade Road Trial - Press Release

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 13 July 2023 11:47
To: Simon Crowcroft [REDACTED]
Subject: St John's Road and Parade Road Trial - Press Release

Constable – draft Press Release for you review.

We are due to meet [REDACTED] can send an advance copy of the draft press release for discussion then.
We can also put forward a suggested a meeting agenda for Monday's [REDACTED] – maybe catch up later today on what you want included?

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Alison Roberts

From: Simon Crowcroft
Sent: 14 August 2023 17:02
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: St John's Road / Parade Road traffic calming measures

[REDACTED]

From: Simon Crowcroft
Sent: 04 July 2023 06:25
[REDACTED]
Subject: RE: St John's Road / Parade Road traffic calming measures

Morning
Thanks very much for copying me in to your supportive message.

Kind regards
Simon

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Sent: 03 July 2023 07:57
To: Constable <constable3@sthelier.je>; [REDACTED]
Subject: Fwd: St John's Road / Parade Road traffic calming measures

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

[REDACTED]

----- Original message -----

[REDACTED]

Date: 03/07/2023 07:47 (GMT+00:00)

[REDACTED]

Subject: St John's Road / Parade Road traffic calming measures

Good morning

I attended the meeting at the Town Hall on Thursday 29 June 2023 regarding the above and I just wanted to thank you for initiating these changes.

My neighbours and I have been campaigning for the last 20 years to try to make this area safe for all road users.

[REDACTED], now and have seen many accidents.

Whilst, as far as I am aware there were no fatalities, we do not want to wait until there is one to try and campaign for change.

I know from some of the comments I heard on Thursday, not everyone is in favour of these changes. The people [redacted] and see the near misses that go on each day are totally in favour of this initiative. [redacted] to be scared, that one day a young child or parent with them could be squashed against the wall.

Roussel Street, New St John's Road, Old St John's Road and Parade Road were never regarded as Main Roads when I was young. These have become main arteries to various locations as St Helier has evolved. In my opinion, West Park Avenue, Roussel Street and Parade Road are all very similar in that they are all one way roads. All these streets are a mixture of residential properties, schools, care homes, hotels, lodging houses etc.

It is paramount that the residents feel safe to step out of their homes without the fear of being mown down. I will watch with interest to see how this trial pans out.

But finally thank all so much for trying to make St Helier a safer place for us all.

With Kind Regards

[redacted signature block]

[redacted line]

Alison Roberts

From: Simon Crowcroft
Sent: 14 August 2023 17:02
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: Parade Road and St John's Road

[REDACTED]

From: Simon Crowcroft <S.Crowcroft@gov.je>
Sent: 04 July 2023 06:08
[REDACTED]
Cc: Simon Crowcroft <Simon.Crowcroft@sthelier.je>
Subject: Fw: Parade Road and St John's Road

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

FYI

[REDACTED]

Sent: 03 July 2023 12:22

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Subject: Re: Parade Road and St John's Road

Dear Simon,

Thank you for your email and for raising your concerns regarding the St. John's Road Safety Trial. As I mentioned in my earlier message to you, I would welcome the opportunity to meet and discuss this as I know that we share the same desire to develop sustainable travel routes for walking and cycling and also to improve air quality in town, particularly near schools, and reduce reliance on car usage.

Given your comments, it is important to clarify the role [REDACTED] and I have had with this project, and my understanding of the project objectives and possible outcomes. [REDACTED] has been involved in working with the local community, Parish, and IHE to promote safer travel routes, particularly around Haute Vallee School, since [REDACTED], and was part of the working group set up to look at addressing safety on St. John's Road and successfully got pedestrian safety improvements installed at the top of the road. When the constituency boundaries changed, [REDACTED] as it also included St. Helier Central.

During those meetings we discussed many options for making St. John's Road more usable for pedestrians at the bottom of the road. We heard of several near misses, and minor incidents would not have been reported, and that residents on the road did not feel that they could walk up and down the road safely due to both traffic speed, but also due to the narrowness of pavements and regular mounting of pavements by vehicles navigating the very narrow two-way road. The residents and Deputies did not propose any one specific remedy, or request that the one-way system should be directed in any specific way, it was the role of the qualified experts in IHE to propose the most appropriate solution, taking into consideration the neighbouring community and roads.

When the proposed solution was presented to us at the beginning of the year I raised the following:

- The head teacher of Helvetia House School should be consulted with as soon as possible, as well as Fig Tree House
- The residents of Parade Road were concerned about speed on their road
- That communication of the proposed solution should be based on the need to get across the message that Parade Road and St. John's Road are both residential roads and not amenable to through traffic
- That the solution should aim to alleviate the concerns of Parade Road residents about speed and increasing traffic

It's my understanding that the proposal includes the following measures concerning Parade Road:

- Three raised tables along the road to reduce traffic speed.
- A resident access-only sign at the junction of Tower Road to redirect traffic along Westmount Road
- The potential permanent scheme also includes a 20mph speed limit along Parade Road and St. John's Road (as you have proposed below)
- The traffic lights are also set at the bottom of Parade Road to limit traffic capacity and further encourage drivers to avoid using the road as a through road.

Your email also references the trial taking place in the school holidays and therefore, not being indicative of regular road use. This is a misunderstanding, as the trial starts at the end of August and is set to run through October and November.

It has always been the intention of the local deputies involved in this project to make the roads safer for pedestrians and reduce traffic along residential roads, and near schools. Should the trial prove that the proposed solution fails to do this we would need to identify other measures.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
Date: Thursday, 29 June 2023 at 12:02

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] >, Simon Crowcroft [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Subject: Parade Road and St John's Road

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organisation. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] I have been closely following the news of the proposals of the scheme for St John's Road and Parade Road, and was extremely surprised to read the JEP news article that you are both supporting this scheme.

[REDACTED] in your role as [REDACTED] to see you supporting a scheme which will dramatically increase the motor traffic outside a primary school (Helvetia House School) which already has excessively high air and tyre particle pollution readings is disappointing and quite frankly a dereliction [REDACTED] to consider and protect the health and well-being of the island's children.

[REDACTED] I am even more flabbergasted by your stance.

You will both be aware that the result of this scheme which will raise idling motor vehicle numbers outside Helvetia House School is in direct contravention of Article 24 of the UN Convention of the Rights of the Child and will undoubtedly result in action being taken by the stakeholders.

I'm sure, like me you have reviewed the data around the safety of lower St John's Road. And so it is odd that you are again supporting this scheme when the data over the past five years shows that this stretch of road has had minimal incidents with pedestrians, and marginally more with motor vehicles. But the fact there has been not one, not one serious incident in the five years ending 2022 in lower St John's Road indicates that there is no issue on this stretch of road. The wider St Helier roads incident data shows that there are a number of locations which are more pressing in terms of addressing road safety and should be the concern of [REDACTED] and the parish.

I have spoken to the parish of St Helier over a number of years to request Parade Road becomes a 20mph road, and yet despite promises this has yet to happen.

The more appropriate solution for this road network is as follows and will address your concerns on road safety for all users of the roads and pavements in the area, as well as improving the air quality in the Parade Road junction with Elizabeth Place:

- *Make Parade Road 20mph
- *Make Parade Road "car as guest" giving priority to pedestrians and those on cycles
- *Make Parade Road "Access Only" - this would extend to residents, and users of the care home, Helvetia House School and those entering Parade Road from Elizabeth Lane
- *Make Elizabeth Lane "Access Only" and "Car as Guest", providing access for those using the Elizabeth Lane businesses, car park and those entering BBC Radio Jersey and those dropping off at Helvetia.
- *Reverse the direction of motor vehicles on West Park Avenue and "Access Only" from Parade Road. Also make West Park Avenue "Car as guest".

These measures will also make the walking route down Parade Road safer, as it will on West Park Avenue which will see pedestrians and those on cycles using those routes more and will undoubtedly encourage more people living in that area to cycle and walk to town for work, shopping or visiting St Helier central.

If it is deemed street furniture similar to St Martin's village where priority filters are introduced could be installed on St John's Road to slow motor vehicle traffic then this should be explored.

The current proposal will still see a significant increase in motor vehicle traffic entering St John's Road from Roussel Street and Undercliffe Road which will be forced down Parade Road to idle outside the primary school, spewing life shortening air and tyre particle pollution into the lungs of primary school children waiting on the road side to enter school, or playing in the playground that is parallel to the road. The excessive pollution will also impact those living in the care home and local residents who already have to suffer excessively high motor vehicle pollution as it stands today.

In addition, the increase in motor vehicle traffic will add risks to young children and other pedestrians using Parade Road to walk to the enterprises on the road or passing through to another destination. I'm sure when visiting Parade Road, [REDACTED] that when school is in session every single day we have red light runners at the bottom of Parade Road putting the girls at risk as well as other trying to cross on the Green Man on Elizabeth Place.

As for the consultation, it is unacceptable that the scheme presentation was given minimal notice, and only one hour for the display of the scheme. I would welcome an explanation for this from those presenting the scheme.

Furthermore for any scheme to be successful it needs to be run when it is business as normal, with a baseline of readings such as air pollution to be taken prior to the scheme being introduced, and disclosed transparently to all stakeholders.

It is clear to anyone that running this scheme over the period when the local school is not in session for a majority of the scheme, and during summer months will deliver starkly different results to those when the school is in session, and hence likely to suit the narrative of those pushing this scheme forward.

I would recommend that the scheme is halted immediately, that the suggestions noted above are fully considered and data assessed and transparently disclosed to the public in a revisited proposal.

It is abundantly clear that the local residents of Parade Road and the enterprises on that road have not been directly consulted which is contrary to how matters should be progressed in these situations.

I welcome an opportunity to discuss all the comments above [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Alison Roberts

From: Simon Crowcroft
Sent: 14 August 2023 17:03
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: St Johns Road/Parade Road

[REDACTED]

From: Simon Crowcroft [REDACTED]
Sent: 04 July 2023 06:07
[REDACTED]
Cc: Simon Crowcroft [REDACTED]
Subject: Re: St Johns Road/Parade Road

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

[REDACTED]

Thanks for the helpful feedback. I will ensure these points are fed into the process.
rgds
Simon

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 03 July 2023 12:24
To: Simon Crowcroft [REDACTED]
Subject: St Johns Road/Parade Road

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organisation. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello Simon,

I hope you are well.

I attended the meeting re the trial scheme regarding the one way into St Johns Road on Thursday. Whilst it is a step in the right direction, concerns must be raised however when cars directed into the middle of the road when moving back to the two way flow. The cars will join the road in the middle of the road and on the bend, when cars coming down may pull out to turn left into Parade road. This has to be addressed somehow.

Then further along the road the mount view building is still running two or more takeaway businesses [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] from the [REDACTED]. The cars in the road are causing obstruction everyday except Mondays, from around 5.30 to 7.30- 8.30. Vehicles are waiting all over the pavement on the top of Roussel street and in the road outside the [REDACTED] and in [REDACTED] while waiting on collecting the deliveries. The drivers then speed off up or down the road with their exhausts popping loudly.

I think the one way part is very welcome but the issues i have raised also need urgently addressing.

with kind regards

[REDACTED]

Alison Roberts

From: Simon Crowcroft
Sent: 14 August 2023 17:03
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: Parade Road / St John's Road

[REDACTED]

-----Original Message-----

[REDACTED]
Sent: 28 June 2023 04:53
To: Simon Crowcroft [REDACTED]
Subject: Re: Parade Road / St John's Road

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Thank you Simon, I will follow up with the [REDACTED] directly on my questions.

As for the road safety on lower St John's Road can you please direct me or provide the data on reported incidents, say for the past five years. I'm sure, like many roads such as Midvale, there will be reports concerning the narrow road at lower St John's, but on this specific stretch it would be helpful to know the specific data on incident reports, actual incidents involving injury to pedestrians, and other road collisions.

We should be looking to introduce VNPR software to monitor access by vehicles which could easily be introduced at the top of Parade Road and so any pushback on an Access Only introduction can be swiftly and easily rebuked.

I look forward to your response, and will as I say follow up with the [REDACTED] officers on the other points.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

> On 27 Jun 2023, at 08:49, Simon Crowcroft [REDACTED]

> This is a government-led scheme which is trying to tackle the road safety problems at the bottom of St John's Rd. It's being presented at a drop in event at the Town Hall on Thursday at 5.30. As far as the info you're requesting that should really come from the Infrastructure Department so can I suggest you contact the department please.

> Kind regards
> Simon

> -----Original Message-----

[REDACTED]
> Sent: 27 June 2023 09:33
> To: Simon Crowcroft [REDACTED]
> Subject: Parade Road / St John's Road

> Dear Simon,
> I trust you are well.

[REDACTED], which means I will miss the short consultation display for the St John's Road / Parade Road changes.

>
> Upon reviewing what is currently publicly available, I am astounded that this scheme was even drafted as a suggestion, let alone going to trial. We have a primary school at the foot of Parade Road where the young children are already exposed every single school day to unnecessary idling traffic as cars are sat on Parade Road or Elizabeth Place waiting at traffic lights. Early indications from the air sensor data from the area exceeded the European limits almost constantly, ensuring the girls are coping with life shortening air and tyre particle pollution when attending school. I believe that the UN Charter for Children's Rights would deem the proposal in Parade Road as a contravention of those rights.

>
> If anything we should be seeking to reduce motor vehicle traffic down Parade Road and making it access only for residents and those working at BBC Radio Jersey, and traffic from Elizabeth Lane. There are no good reasons for Parade Road to have any other traffic on it, other than that outlined above.

>
> The St John's Road section is narrow and the concerns about pedestrian safety can be alleviated by making Parade Road a "cars as guest" so pedestrians are more likely (those who have concerns walking on St John's Road) to use Parade Road.

>
> Can you please provide highlighted details of the conversations that have been had with residents of Parade Road, and connecting roads, including BBC Radio Jersey, but most importantly with Helvetia House School which has over 100 pupils, and therefore the largest single enterprise on the proposal.

>
> I look forward to receiving a response in the next couple of days and I will be liaising with the Helvetia Parents Association and with the school themselves.

>
[REDACTED]

>
[REDACTED]

>
> Note:
> This message is for the named person's use only. It may contain confidential, proprietary or legally privileged information. No confidentiality or privilege is waived or lost by any mistransmission. If you receive this message in error, please immediately delete it and all copies of it from your system, destroy any hard copies of it and notify the sender. You must not, directly or indirectly, use, disclose, distribute, print, or copy any part of this message if you are not the intended recipient. Parish of St Helier and any of its subsidiaries each reserve the right to monitor all e-mail communications through its networks.

> Any views expressed in this message are those of the individual sender, except where the message states otherwise and the sender is authorized to state them to be the views of any such entity.

>
> Thank You.

Alison Roberts

From: Simon Crowcroft
Sent: 14 August 2023 17:03
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: St John's Road Trial Scheme
Attachments: [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Sent: 27 June 2023 16:00
To: Simon Crowcroft [REDACTED]
Subject: Fwd: St John's Road Trial Scheme

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Connetable Crowcroft,

Please find below an email that I have sent to St Helier Parish [REDACTED]. I would be grateful if you can consider our position with regards the proposed changes to St John's Road.

In essence we strongly support the need for change to the traffic management along St John's Road, however the current proposals will make it impossible [REDACTED]. We would like this to be considered before the temporary changes are made.

Best regards

[REDACTED]

----- Forwarded message -----

[REDACTED]

Date: Tue, 27 Jun 2023 at 10:16
Subject: St John's Road Trial Scheme
[REDACTED]

Good morning

I am writing to express our support for the proposed changes to the traffic layout on St John's Road. I am hoping to see the exhibition on Thursday but I may not be able to make it so I wanted to write to you with our thoughts now.

[REDACTED] We experience first hand the difficulties with the traffic on the road. We have all been struck by wing mirrors at some point in the past trying to walk into town. [REDACTED] and sometimes uses [REDACTED] however, despite it being a small narrow [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] e. and when we have [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] is not possible to get the [REDACTED] fully on the pavement and it is pushed part on the pavement and part on the road.

Something needs to be done and we applaud your efforts.

We do have one negative point we wish to raise.

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Our proposal we would like to suggest is the following:

- As much as possible the main traffic flows are encouraged to use Rouge Boullion and Queens Road when heading north / south and away from the residential areas around St John's Road and Old St John's Road
- St John's Road, Old St John's Road, Parade Park, West Park Avenue, Roussel Street and Undercliff Road become 'Access to Residents Only'
- Old St John's Road becomes northbound only. Even at the southern end which is currently two way.
- The southern end of St John's Road becomes southbound only
- The junction with Tower Road is modified as the current proposal to make the dominant traffic flow head south down Tower Road and/or Westmount Road
- Pavements are widened and traffic calming measures introduced along Old St John's Road and St John's Road (including the northern two way part, with 'priority to oncoming traffic' introduced)
- Where possible include planting, shrubs, trees and 'greening up' where space allows as part of the changes to make it more pleasant for pedestrians
- These proposals will make a 'loop' around Old St John's Road and St John's Road however if traffic calming measures are in place this should stop it becoming a rat run/race track.
- Where pavements are widened allow for dropped kerbs/vague kerb/road boundaries, or unloading bays, for short stops for deliveries, unloading vehicles, tradesmen to drop off materials, taxi's to collect etc. One option may be to make a wide pavement on one side only?

I would be interested to hear from you

Best regards

[REDACTED]

Alison Roberts

From: Simon Crowcroft
Sent: 14 August 2023 17:03
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: St John's Road IV

[REDACTED]

From: Simon Crowcroft
Sent: 27 June 2023 14:59

[REDACTED]

Subject: RE: St John's Road IV

[REDACTED]

Thanks for your email. This is one for [REDACTED] as it's a Gov scheme on a Gov road. The Parish Roads Committee supports it and it's been on our public agenda but we're not the promoter of the scheme.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Sent: 27 June 2023 10:41
To: Simon Crowcroft [REDACTED]
Subject: St John's Road IV

Good morning Simon,

There's already been a very large and strong response to our story about the St John's Road trial this morning.

Please could we get a phone interview with you today about the rationale for the change? (I have made a similar request for [REDACTED] through the [REDACTED], as I feel it needs one of you on air to explain it please)

Alison Roberts

From: Simon Crowcroft
Sent: 14 August 2023 17:03
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: Parade Road / St John's Road

[REDACTED]

-----Original Message-----

From: Simon Crowcroft
Sent: 27 June 2023 14:49

[REDACTED]

Subject: RE: Parade Road / St John's Road

[REDACTED]

This is a government-led scheme which is trying to tackle the road safety problems at the bottom of St John's Rd. It's being presented at a drop in event at the Town Hall on Thursday at 5.30. As far as the info you're requesting that should really come from the Infrastructure Department so can I suggest you contact the department please.

Kind regards
Simon

[REDACTED]

-----Original Message-----

[REDACTED]

Sent: 27 June 2023 09:33
To: Simon Crowcroft

[REDACTED]

Subject: Parade Road / St John's Road

Dear Simon,

I trust you are well.

I am currently [REDACTED] which means I will miss the short consultation display for the St John's Road / Parade Road changes.

Upon reviewing what is currently publicly available, I am astounded that this scheme was even drafted as a suggestion, let alone going to trial. We have a primary school at the foot of Parade Road where the young children are already exposed every single school day to unnecessary idling traffic as cars are sat on Parade Road or Elizabeth Place waiting at traffic lights. Early indications from the air sensor data from the area exceeded the European limits almost constantly, ensuring the girls are coping with life shortening air and tyre particle pollution when attending school. I believe that the UN Charter for Children's Rights would seem the proposal in Parade Road as a contravention of those rights.

If anything we should be seeking to reduce motor vehicle traffic down Parade Road and making it access only for residents and those working at BBC Radio Jersey, and traffic from Elizabeth Lane. There are no good reasons for Parade Road to have any other traffic on it, other than that outlined above.

The St John's Road section is narrow and the concerns about pedestrian safety can be alleviated by making Parade Road a "cars as guest" so pedestrians are more likely (those who have concerns walking on St John's Road) to use Parade Road.

Can you please provide highlighted details of the conversations that have been had with residents of Parade Road, and connecting roads, including BBC Radio Jersey, but most importantly with Helvetia House School which has over 100 pupils, and therefore the largest single enterprise on the proposal.

Alison Roberts

From: Simon Crowcroft
Sent: 14 August 2023 17:04
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: Parade Road / St John's Road

[REDACTED]

From: Simon Crowcroft [REDACTED]
Sent: 27 June 2023 13:18

[REDACTED]

Subject: Re: Parade Road / St John's Road

Hi everyone
There's pressure on [REDACTED] to explain that this is a government trial of a road safety improvement plan which the Parish Roads Committee supports and which is being presented at a drop in event on Thursday at 5.30. Please confirm it's ok for me to put this out.

Kind regards
Simon

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Sent: Tuesday, June 27, 2023 10:44:02 AM
To: Simon Crowcroft [REDACTED]

Subject: RE: Parade Road / St John's Road

Hi Simon

Yes I have noticed we seem to be getting the consultation comments on the [REDACTED] proposals.

[REDACTED] will contact the [REDACTED] team to see what they want to do as they should be collating the information themselves

Kind Regards,

[REDACTED]

Parish of St Helier Infrastructure
Town Hall, PO Box 50, St Helier, JERSEY, JE4 8PA

[REDACTED]

Facebook: www.facebook.com/pages/Parish-of-St-Helier/
Parish of St Helier Twitter: www.twitter.com/StHelierJsy
Streets Inspector Twitter: www.twitter.com/streetinsp



From: Simon Crowcroft [REDACTED]

Sent: Tuesday, 27 June 2023 09:41

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
Subject: Fwd: Parade Road / St John's Road

Morning

Presumably we should direct all such queries to the [REDACTED] team or is there an alternative route to use please?

Kind regards

Simon
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, June 27, 2023 9:32:57 AM

To: Simon Crowcroft [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Subject: Parade Road / St John's Road

Dear Simon,

I trust you are well.

I am currently [REDACTED], which means I will miss the short consultation display for the St John's Road / Parade Road changes.

Upon reviewing what is currently publicly available, I am astounded that this scheme was even drafted as a suggestion, let alone going to trial. We have a primary school at the foot of Parade Road where the young children are already exposed every single school day to unnecessary idling traffic as cars are sat on Parade Road or Elizabeth Place waiting at traffic lights. Early indications from the air sensor data from the area exceeded the European limits almost constantly, ensuring the girls are coping with life shortening air and tyre particle pollution when attending school. I believe that the UN Charter for Children's Rights would seem the proposal in Parade Road as a contravention of those rights.

If anything we should be seeking to reduce motor vehicle traffic down Parade Road and making it access only for residents and those working at BBC Radio Jersey, and traffic from Elizabeth Lane. There are no good reasons for Parade Road to have any other traffic on it, other than that outlined above.

The St John's Road section is narrow and the concerns about pedestrian safety can be alleviated by making Parade Road a "cars as guest" so pedestrians are more likely (those who have concerns walking on St John's Road) to use Parade Road.

Can you please provide highlighted details of the conversations that have been had with residents of Parade Road, and connecting roads, including BBC Radio Jersey, but most importantly with Helvetia House School which has over 100 pupils, and therefore the largest single enterprise on the proposal.

I look forward to receiving a response in the next couple of days and I will be liaising with the Helvetia Parents Association and with the school themselves.

Kind regards

Alison Roberts

From: Simon Crowcroft
Sent: 14 August 2023 17:05
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: St John's Road - Posters

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Sent: 16 June 2023 09:53

[REDACTED] Simon Crowcroft [REDACTED]

Subject: FW: St John's Road - Posters

Morning [REDACTED], I spoke to [REDACTED] yesterday and [REDACTED] have requested to put the drop in session back to 29th [REDACTED] so I've booked [REDACTED] for the evening of Thursday 29th.

Will get [REDACTED] invites out shortly.

Kind Regards

[REDACTED]

Alison Roberts

From: Simon Crowcroft
Sent: 14 August 2023 17:05
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: Speeding on New St John's Road.

Switch-MessageId: 8911c615a3a94ae0a88f2ee4b293c5c8

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
Sent: 14 June 2023 12:49
To: Simon Crowcroft [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Speeding on New St John's Road.

Hi Simon

Speed detections undertaken between [REDACTED] on New St John's Road demonstrate that whilst there is a small percentage of vehicles that speed, the percentage of those who do so is low, [REDACTED]

The Honorary Police are out this week doing speed detection checks and will be covering New St Johns Road. [REDACTED]

A Speed Indication Device will be placed at the beginning of July at the location [REDACTED], the results detailed above are from a similar location [REDACTED]

Kind regards

[REDACTED]

From: Simon Crowcroft [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, June 13, 2023 11:07 AM

[REDACTED]
Subject: FW: Speeding on New St John's Road.

Pls register this complaint and obtain advice from the Hon Police to enable me to reply.

[REDACTED]
Sent: 12 June 2023 17:53
To: Simon Crowcroft [REDACTED]
Subject: Speeding on New St John's Road.

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organisation. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Simon

I hope you are well.

Further to our communication previously I wanted to once again draw your attention to the constant speeding up and down (New) St John's Road.

The speed signs indicating the speeds of vehicles doesn't seem to have made any difference.

In fact [REDACTED] the speeds have increased, especially motor bikes.

It is ridiculous the speeds, 60 mph I would say.

Someone is going to get killed on this road either a child, pedestrian or someone a motor bike.

The culprits [REDACTED] who congregate at the bottom of the hill, it seems they can hear a bike coming down from there and think it is funny how fast they go.

I see a few more now trying to get their licenses, wow, it's going to get worse when they get bigger and louder bikes.

[REDACTED], I pay my rates and expect better policing of the area.

No speed checks, excuses made, no where to pull them in etc etc. it's not good enough [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

30mph, should be 20mph, but they don't really take notice of signs and do the speed they want too anyway. They're beyond the law! [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] the lack of support from the parish.

I would say between 4 and 5-30. daily are the worst times and Saturday and Sunday anytime really.

Also the revving of engines down the hill [REDACTED]

It never used to be like this, the lack of consideration for people, they just don't care!

I don't mind meeting someone from the parish, to show them again, or someone who puts the signs up to show them where they should go. (Lampost top of Undercliffe Road facing up St John's Road.)

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Sent from my iPhone

Alison Roberts

From: Simon Crowcroft
Sent: 14 August 2023 17:05
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: Speeding on New St John's Road.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
Sent: 13 June 2023 13:23
To: Simon Crowcroft [REDACTED]
Subject: Re: Speeding on New St John's Road.

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Simon

I am quite happy to meet one of your hon police if required.

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

On Jun 13, 2023, at 11:23, [REDACTED]

Ok Thankyou.

[REDACTED]

On Jun 13, 2023, at 11:07, Simon Crowcroft [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
Thanks for your email. I am chasing up my Hon Police for a response before replying to you fully.

Kind regards
Simon

[REDACTED]

Note:
This message is for the named person's use only. It may contain confidential, proprietary or legally privileged information. No confidentiality or privilege is waived or lost by any mistransmission. If you receive this message in error, please immediately delete it and all copies of it from your system, destroy any hard copies of it and notify the sender. You must not, directly or indirectly, use, disclose, distribute, print, or copy any part of this message if

Alison Roberts

From: Simon Crowcroft
Sent: 14 August 2023 17:06
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: St John's Road/Parade Road Pedestrian Improvement Trial - Parking Review
Attachments: St Johns Road Board Meeting 12th April 2023_final.pdf

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Sent: 20 April 2023 09:15
To: Roads Committee [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] St John's Road/Parade Road Pedestrian Improvement Trial - Parking Review

Good morning Roads Committee

Hope you are all well

Apologies for the delay in getting a copy of the presentation [REDACTED] did to the Committee at the last meeting.

Please see attached presentation slides for your info

Many thanks

Kind Regards,

[REDACTED]